

Message

From: Scianni, Melissa [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=96DF5A77C72A4D109141A14DCF9E5C9B-MSCIANNI]
Sent: 6/21/2022 8:58:53 PM
To: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) [Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil]; Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife [Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov]; Steinert, Tiffany@Waterboards [Tiffany.Steinert@Waterboards.ca.gov]
Subject: RE: PRMB Area F Spineflower Introduction Amendment

I don't have any additional comments.

Thanks,
Melissa

Melissa Scianni
Wetlands Office
US EPA, Region IX, Southern CA Field Office
600 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 940
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 244-1817
scianni.melissa@epa.gov

From: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 1:38 PM
To: Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife <Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov>; Scianni, Melissa <Scianni.Melissa@epa.gov>; Steinert, Tiffany@Waterboards <Tiffany.Steinert@Waterboards.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: PRMB Area F Spineflower Introduction Amendment

Hey all,

I will send out some minor last comments, one general and a few specific to the Exhibit K that I ran over with Lisa, this afternoon. This is all our remaining comments we have at this point.

Thanks!

Shannon L. Pankratz, D. Env.
Senior Project Manager, Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties Section
North Coast Branch, Regulatory Division
Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
shannon.l.pankratz@usace.army.mil

Office: 213-452-3412
Government Mobile: 213-453-8586
<https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/>

IMPORTANT NOTE: During the Coronavirus Health Emergency, Regulatory Program staff are teleworking. Please do not mail hard copy documents to any Regulatory staff or office. For further details on corresponding with us, please view our COVID-19 special public notice at:

https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/Portals/17/docs/publicnotices/COVID19%20Regulatory_SPN.pdf?ver=2020-03-19-134532-833

Assist us in better serving you! Please complete our brief customer survey, located at the following link: <https://regulatory.ops.usace.army.mil/customer-service-survey/>

From: Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife <Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2022 11:31 AM
To: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>; Melissa Scianni <scianni.melissa@epa.gov>; Steinert, Tiffany@Waterboards <Tiffany.Steinert@Waterboards.ca.gov>
Subject: [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] RE: PRMB Area F Spineflower Introduction Amendment

Hi Shannon,

I think I've looked over all the newly submitted updates from this month and I do not have any further comments.

Lisa

Lisa Gymer
Senior Environmental Scientist Specialist
Regional Conservation and Mitigation Banking Coordinator
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
South Coast Region
3883 Ruffin Road
San Diego, California 92123
Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov
C: 858 294 8074
F: 858 467 4299

From: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2022 3:36 PM
To: Stephanie Gad <gad@wra-ca.com>; Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife <Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov>; Melissa Scianni <scianni.melissa@epa.gov>; Steinert, Tiffany@Waterboards <Tiffany.Steinert@Waterboards.ca.gov>
Cc: Nate Bello <bello@wra-ca.com>; Marlene Tyner-Valencourt <tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com>; tracey@landveritas.com; Estes, Stephen M CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) <Stephen.M.Estes@usace.army.mil>; Troxel, Tiffany A CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) <Tiffany.A.Troxel@usace.army.mil>; Woodsmall, David@Wildlife <David.Woodsmall@Wildlife.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: PRMB Area F Spineflower Introduction Amendment

WARNING: This message is from an external source. Verify the sender and exercise caution when clicking links or opening attachments.

Thanks Stephanie, the older docs have been removed from RIBITS.

Shannon L. Pankratz, D. Env.
Senior Project Manager, Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties Section
North Coast Branch, Regulatory Division
Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
shannon.l.pankratz@usace.army.mil

Office: 213-452-3412
Government Mobile: 213-453-8586
<https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/>

IMPORTANT NOTE: During the Coronavirus Health Emergency, Regulatory Program staff are teleworking. Please do not mail hard copy documents to any Regulatory staff or office. For further details on corresponding with us, please view our COVID-19 special public notice at:

https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/Portals/17/docs/publicnotices/COVID19%20Regulatory_SPN.pdf?ver=2020-03-19-134532-833

Assist us in better serving you! Please complete our brief customer survey, located at the following link: <https://regulatory.ops.usace.army.mil/customer-service-survey/>

From: Stephanie Gad <gad@wra-ca.com>

Sent: Friday, June 17, 2022 9:01 AM

To: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>; Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife <Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov>; Melissa Scianni <scianni.melissa@epa.gov>; Tiffany Steinert <tiffany.steinert@waterboards.ca.gov>

Cc: Nate Bello <bello@wra-ca.com>; Marlene Tyner-Valencourt <tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com>; Tracey Brownfield <tracey@landveritas.com>; Estes, Stephen M CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) <Stephen.M.Estes@usace.army.mil>; Troxel, Tiffany A CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) <Tiffany.A.Troxel@usace.army.mil>; Woodsmall, David@Wildlife <David.Woodsmall@wildlife.ca.gov>

Subject: [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] Re: PRMB Area F Spineflower Introduction Amendment

Good morning IRT,

After discussing with Lisa, we revised Exhibit K-15 to add a footnote to Table 5 explaining that the totals in this table represent the sum of rounded credits of the 404 uniform re-establishment credit type. This was added to clarify the 0.01 discrepancy between Table 5 of Exhibit K-15 and Table 1 in Exhibit F-1.1. Attached here is the tracked changes and clean version of the revised Exhibit K-15. These along with the PDF have been uploaded to RIBITS.

Shannon, would you mind please removing the tracked changes, clean word, and assembled PDF of Exhibit K-15 dated 20220610 saved to RIBITS under the folder "Area F Spineflower Amendment 06_2022" so there is no confusion on which version is the most recent? Thank you!

Thank you!
Stephanie

On Tue, Jun 14, 2022 at 11:38 AM Stephanie Gad <gad@wra-ca.com> wrote:

Good morning IRT,

We've addressed your comments and edits in the Comment Response Matrix and the BEI documents sent on June 1 for all documents except the Area F CE. We have attached the clean and tracked changes word documents along with the comment response matrix to this email. These documents have also been uploaded to RIBITS along with the assembled PDFs. WRA is still coordinating with SRMA and Newhall on the outstanding question related to the easement compliance funding in the Area F CE and will send the revised Area F CE once this has been resolved.

The following documents have been revised as a result of the last round of IRT comment: BEI amendment, Exhibits C-1, D-5, F-1.1, K-15, the Lake Elizabeth Subordination Agreement, and Comment Response Matrix. No comments were received and no edits made to Exhibit E-3.1 from the May 2022 submittal.

Thank you and please feel free to reach out with any questions!
Stephanie

On Fri, Jun 3, 2022 at 4:35 PM Nate Bello <bello@wra-ca.com> wrote:

Thank you Shannon and IRT for the quick turnaround on these comments.

We received the new set of comments and are working to address them. Newhall has made minor edits to the subordination agreement containing the IRT comments, which is attached here. Ahead of the next submission we also wanted to provide some responses to some of the comments to help clarify a few things.

- There was a comment from CDFW that they did not see the figures in the May submittal. The revised figures for Exhibits C-1, D-5, E-3.1, and F-1 were all included in the May 2022 submittal within the assembled PDF for each of the exhibits. We've also attached to this email a PDF of all the revised Figures for ease of reference.
- There was a comment from CDFW that Exhibit F-1.2 and F-1.3 were not included in the May submittal. These are components of Exhibit F-1 and were included in the pdfs that are on RIBITS.
- There were several comments about credit discrepancies of 0.01 acre. Since all credit types are rounded to two significant digits there will always be the potential for summed values in the tables to be +/- 0.01 since we are only working with 1 significant digit. For example, one of the comments is on the CEQA debits, looking at the table below you can see what the values would be like if displayed at 3 decimal places vs 2 decimal places.

Great Basin scrub	2.764	2.76
Seeps, meadows, marshes	0.571	0.57
Valley and foothill grassland	3.423	3.42
Grand Total	6.758	6.75

- There is a comment in the Development Plan exhibit C-1 Figure 17, which depicts the monitoring locations (transects, CRAM AAs and photopoints) at EL, stating that his figure needed all of the easements shown. It is not clear to me why this one figure depicting monitoring locations would need to show all of the easements. So wanted to confirm is the comment intended for Figure 17 from exhibit C-1?

Happy to get on a call and discuss any of these topics or any other topics.

Regards and have a great weekend!

Nate,

Nathan Bello | Conservation Biologist/Planner | d: 415.524.7238 | o: 415.454.8868 x 1800 | c: 916.508.4993 | bello@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 2169-G East Francisco Blvd., San Rafael, CA 94901 | San Diego | Fort Bragg | Denver

On Wed, Jun 1, 2022 at 11:33 AM Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US)
<Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil> wrote:

Thank you Nate, please see the attached IRT responses (combined).

All the best,

Shannon L. Pankratz, D. Env.

Senior Project Manager, Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties Section

North Coast Branch, Regulatory Division

Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

shannon.l.pankratz@usace.army.mil

Office: 213-452-3412

Government Mobile: 213-453-8586

<https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/>

IMPORTANT NOTE: During the Coronavirus Health Emergency, Regulatory Program staff are teleworking. Please do not mail hard copy documents to any Regulatory staff or office. For further details on corresponding with us, please view our COVID-19 special public notice at:

[https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/Portals/17/docs/publicnotices/COVID19%20Regulatory SPN.pdf?ver=2020-03-19-134532-833](https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/Portals/17/docs/publicnotices/COVID19%20Regulatory%20SPN.pdf?ver=2020-03-19-134532-833)

Assist us in better serving you! Please complete our brief customer survey, located at the following link: <https://regulatory.ops.usace.army.mil/customer-service-survey/>

From: Nate Bello <bello@wra-ca.com>

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2022 3:26 PM

To: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>; Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife <Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov>; Melissa Scianni <scianni.melissa@epa.gov>; Tiffany Steinert <tiffany.steinert@waterboards.ca.gov>; Estes, Stephen M CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) <Stephen.M.Estes@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Tracey Brownfield <tracey@landveritas.com>; Stephanie Gad <gad@wra-ca.com>; Marlene Tyner-Valencourt <tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com>

Subject: [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] PRMB Area F Spineflower Introduction Amendment

Dear IRT,

We have addressed all of your comments and edits to the various BEI documents. We have attached here the clean and tracked changes word documents as well as a response to comments matrix that acts as a repository for all of your comments and our responses. The color coding is such that green cells are where we accepted your edits or made the requested edit to address your comment. The blue cells are where no edits were made. Purple cells are

where we wanted to draw your attention to a specific response. In the clean folder you will also find a new document per the IRT's request; Exhibit K-15 which details the debited credits resulting from this amendment, this document is now referenced in Exhibit F-1. We have also uploaded all of these documents to RIBITS along with the assembled pdfs.

Please note there were a few minor changes made by Newhall to the CE, and they also let me know that the Subordination agreement had already been signed, so if at all possible they would like to keep it as-is. We have included on RIBITS the signed version.

Please feel free to reach out with any questions and I would be happy to have a meeting next week to go over anything the IRT would like to discuss.

Regards and have a great weekend!

Nate

Nathan Bello | Conservation Biologist/Planner | d: 415.524.7238 | o: 415.454.8868 x 1800 | c: 916.508.4993 | bello@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 2169-G East Francisco Blvd., San Rafael, CA 94901 | San Diego | Fort Bragg | Denver

--

STEPHANIE GAD | Conservation Analyst | d: 858.386.4054 | o: 858.842.1800 x 2420 | c: 714.606.5204 | gad@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 3033 5th Street, Suite 315, San Diego, CA 92103 | San Rafael | Emeryville | Fort Bragg | Denver

--

STEPHANIE GAD | Conservation Analyst | d: 858.386.4054 | o: 858.842.1800 x 2420 | c: 714.606.5204 | gad@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 3033 5th Street, Suite 315, San Diego, CA 92103 | San Rafael | Emeryville | Fort Bragg | Denver